Appendix "A" Surveillance Video Security Threat Assessment Form

| To Dete | rmine the Requirements for a Video S | urveillance | e Syster | n: |
|--|---|-----------------------|----------|--|
| Location Propose Reques | me: Walkerton Communication: 290 Durham Street ed Video Location: Exterior ent tor: Parks and Recrud ment: Parks and Lucrea july 3 2019 | what ranus tian | - | lkerton Prior lobby, Staircase |
| | | | | on site? If so, please describe and advise if their veillance Policy. (Use separate page if required). |
| con | | | | easures of deterrence or detection have been g security counter-measures been considered and |
| Se a) b) c) d) e) f) g) | Duress Buttons Door Locking Hardware Alarm System Access Control System Signage Security Guard/Officer Patrols | Yes | No | Facility widely used by public Cost prohibitive |
| inci | | ncerns. Ar | - | ed on the basis of verifiable, specific reports of any documented incidents of crime or significant |
| b) c) d) e) | Reports Police Reports Health and Safety Consultants Report Health and Safety Committee Minutes | Yes | No | Staff incident and complaint Staff Security incident police involved |

| | on p | | n any adverse ef | ne proposed video surveillance system may have fects can be mitigated. Have the following effects |
|-----|--------------------|--|------------------|---|
| | | ects and Mitigation Strategies | Yes / No | Comments |
| | a) | The location of the proposed camera is situated in an area that | <u></u> | |
| | | will minimize privacy intrusion? | | |
| | b) | Is the proposed cameral location | | |
| | | one where the public and | | |
| | | employees do not have a higher | | |
| | | expectation of privacy (i.e. not in a | | |
| | c) | washroom or change room, etc)? Is the location of the proposed | | |
| | c, | video camera visible? | | |
| | d) | Can the video surveillance be | | Public areas and entrances |
| | | restricted to the recognized | | |
| | | problem area? | | |
| | e) | Is space allocated for proper video | | |
| | f) | surveillance signage? Has a drawing been attached | / | |
| | ٠, | showing the video location? | | |
| | g) | Other | | |
| | Have | - | | nce systems should minimize privacy intrusion. sidered for each proposed camera location? |
| | Me | the following design and operation factors to Mitigate Effects | | • • |
| | | the following design and operation f | actors been cor | sidered for each proposed camera location? |
| | Me a) | e the following design and operation for asures to Mitigate Effects Can the proposed camera be restricted through hardware or software to ensure that Operators cannot adjust or manipulate cameras to overlook spaces that a threat assessment has not been completed for? | actors been cor | sidered for each proposed camera location? |
| | Me | asures to Mitigate Effects Can the proposed camera be restricted through hardware or software to ensure that Operators cannot adjust or manipulate cameras to overlook spaces that a threat assessment has not been completed for? Is the reception equipment going | actors been cor | sidered for each proposed camera location? |
| | Me a) | asures to Mitigate Effects Can the proposed camera be restricted through hardware or software to ensure that Operators cannot adjust or manipulate cameras to overlook spaces that a threat assessment has not been completed for? Is the reception equipment going to be located in a strictly | actors been cor | Comments |
| | Me a) b) | asures to Mitigate Effects Can the proposed camera be restricted through hardware or software to ensure that Operators cannot adjust or manipulate cameras to overlook spaces that a threat assessment has not been completed for? Is the reception equipment going | actors been cor | Comments |
| | Me a) | e the following design and operation for asures to Mitigate Effects Can the proposed camera be restricted through hardware or software to ensure that Operators cannot adjust or manipulate cameras to overlook spaces that a threat assessment has not been completed for? Is the reception equipment going to be located in a strictly controlled access area? | actors been cor | Comments |
| | Me a) b) | e the following design and operation for asures to Mitigate Effects Can the proposed camera be restricted through hardware or software to ensure that Operators cannot adjust or manipulate cameras to overlook spaces that a threat assessment has not been completed for? Is the reception equipment going to be located in a strictly controlled access area? Can the Video Surveillance Monitor be installed in such a way that it will be hidden from public | actors been cor | sidered for each proposed camera location? |
| | Me a) b) | e the following design and operation for asures to Mitigate Effects Can the proposed camera be restricted through hardware or software to ensure that Operators cannot adjust or manipulate cameras to overlook spaces that a threat assessment has not been completed for? Is the reception equipment going to be located in a strictly controlled access area? Can the Video Surveillance Monitor be installed in such a way that it will be hidden from public view? | actors been cor | Comments |
| | Me a) b) | e the following design and operation for asures to Mitigate Effects Can the proposed camera be restricted through hardware or software to ensure that Operators cannot adjust or manipulate cameras to overlook spaces that a threat assessment has not been completed for? Is the reception equipment going to be located in a strictly controlled access area? Can the Video Surveillance Monitor be installed in such a way that it will be hidden from public | actors been cor | Comments |
| Сог | Me a) b) | e the following design and operation for asures to Mitigate Effects Can the proposed camera be restricted through hardware or software to ensure that Operators cannot adjust or manipulate cameras to overlook spaces that a threat assessment has not been completed for? Is the reception equipment going to be located in a strictly controlled access area? Can the Video Surveillance Monitor be installed in such a way that it will be hidden from public view? Other | actors been cor | Comments |
| Сог | Me a) b) c) | e the following design and operation for asures to Mitigate Effects Can the proposed camera be restricted through hardware or software to ensure that Operators cannot adjust or manipulate cameras to overlook spaces that a threat assessment has not been completed for? Is the reception equipment going to be located in a strictly controlled access area? Can the Video Surveillance Monitor be installed in such a way that it will be hidden from public view? Other | actors been cor | Comments |
| | b) c) | e the following design and operation for asures to Mitigate Effects Can the proposed camera be restricted through hardware or software to ensure that Operators cannot adjust or manipulate cameras to overlook spaces that a threat assessment has not been completed for? Is the reception equipment going to be located in a strictly controlled access area? Can the Video Surveillance Monitor be installed in such a way that it will be hidden from public view? Other | actors been cor | Comments |